**COMMISSION OFFICERS:** 

Susan O. Storey Chairperson

Cindy R. Slane Vice Chairperson

Adrienne Farrar Houël Secretary

Jean L. Rexford Treasurer

**EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR:** Leslie J. Gabel-Brett

State of Connecticut

GENERAL ASSEMBLY



PERMANENT COMMISSION ON THE STATUS OF WOMEN

18-20 TRINITY STREET HARTFORD, CT 06106-1628 (860) 240-8300 FAX: (860) 240-8314 Email: pcsw@cga.ct.gov www.cga.ct.gov/PCSW **COMMISSION MEMBERS:** 

Marcia A. Cavanaugh Anne Dailey Barbara DeBaptiste Sandra Hassan Patricia T. Hendel Tanya Meck Robin L. Sheppard Carrie Gallagher Sussman Patricia E.M. Whitcombe

**LEGISLATIVE MEMBERS:** 

Senator Andrew J. McDonald Senator John A. Kissell Representative Michael P. Lawlor Representative Robert Farr

**HONORARY MEMBERS:** 

Connie Dice

Testimony of
Leslie Gable-Brett, Ph.D
Executive Director
The Permanent Commission on the Status of Women
Before the
Labor and Public Employees Committee
Tuesday, February 28, 2006

In Support of: R.B 5281, AAC Credit in the State Employees Retirement System for Prior State Service.

Good afternoon Senator Prague, Rep. Ryan and members of the Committee. My name is Leslie Gabel-Bret and I am the Executive Director of the Permanent Commission on the Status of Women. Thank you for this opportunity to testify in favor of R.B 5281.

The PCSW supports passage of R.B 5281 because it addresses the disadvantages faced by working women who are more likely than their male counterparts to take significant time out of the labor force for family obligations. Workers should not lose credit for the years they work, and under the provisions of this proposed bill, any worker who works for the state, then has a "break-in-service" and returns subsequently to state employment, will not lose credit for the first years of state employment.

This is a fair policy for all workers regardless of gender, but will have the greatest impact on economic security for older women. Under current policies, women have significantly lower pension incomes than men because we tend to take more time out of the workforce for child rearing and other family caregiving responsibilities, and are more likely to work in part-time positions. On a national level, we find that 46.7% of men age 65 and older received a pension in the year 2000 as opposed to only 29.5% of women. The end result is that a greater percentage of older women live in poverty. In 2003, 12.5% of women aged 65 and older were poor compared to 7.3% of men in the same age group. In Connecticut, an alarming 15% of women 65 years of age and older live in poverty.

The U.S. Department of Labor documents the gap between women and men in annual median earnings for full-time year-round work, which currently stands at approximately 24.5% - that is, women earn about 75 cents on the dollar for every dollar earned by men. But a report by Stephen J. Rose and Heidi I. Hartmann demonstrates that the cumulative wage gap between men and women over a 15-year period is much higher, with more damaging consequences for the economic security of women. One of the key reasons for this cumulative gap in earnings is the greater likelihood that women will have significant breaks in paid employment in order to take care of children or other family members. Over a 15-year period, more than half of women (52%) but only 1 out of 6 men (16%) reported at least one complete year out of the labor market, with no earnings. During that period (1983-1998), the gap between women's median cumulative earnings for women in their prime earning years between 26 and 59 years old and the median cumulative earnings of men in the same age group was 62%, much higher than the annual gap of 24.5%. In real dollars, during that same 15-year period, women earned a median of approximately \$274,000 while men earned a median of approximately \$733,000 – a difference of nearly half a million dollars (\$459,000).<sup>3</sup>

According to the Social Security Administration, women workers retiring in 2000 worked an average of 32 years compared to men who worked 44 years. <sup>4</sup> The likelihood of working for an employer who offers a pension plan increases with jobs paying higher wages or those offering full-time work status.

Taking unpaid time out of the labor market costs women and their families and puts their economic security at risk. We need reforms that take into account women's specific experiences in order to protect the economic security of retired women. We strongly urge your support of R.B 5281.

<sup>3</sup> Stephen J. Rose and Heidi I. Hartmann, *Still a Man's Labor Market: The Long Term Earning's Gap*, Institute for Women's Policy Research, 2004, p. iii, www.iwpr.org

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Legal Momentum: Reading Between the Lines, Women's Poverty in the U.S 2003, pub 10/4

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> U.S. Census Bureau, Current Population Survey 2004

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup>Women's Institute for A Secure Retirement (Wiser), *The Female Factor*, 2005